Tables on the AAU Campus Climate Survey on Sexual Assault and Sexual Misconduct for the University of Missouri

August, 2015

Prepared by: Westat 1600 Research Boulevard Rockville, Maryland 20850-3129

Prepared for: The Association of American Universities

Note: Please see "Report on the AAU Campus Climate Survey on Sexual Assault and Sexual Misconduct" at <u>www.aau.edu</u> for survey development and methodology, definitions, and further context regarding this study.

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey

Characteristic	Weig	hted	Un-weighted		
Category	Number	%	Number	%	
Age					
18 years old	1,980	6.5	259	5.5	
19 years old	5,801	19.2	770	16.2	
20 years old	5,767	19.1	868	18.3	
21 years old	5,188	17.1	803	16.9	
22 years old	4,247	14.0	577	12.1	
23 years old	1,988	6.6	318	6.7	
24 years old	1,115	3.7	217	4.6	
25 years or older	4,184	13.8	938	19.7	
Student Affiliation		1	1	1	
Undergraduate	24,756	81.8	3,464	72.9	
Graduate or Professional	5,514	18.2	1,286	27.1	
Year in school/program	I		1		
Freshman	4,711	15.6	556	11.7	
Sophomore	6,086	20.1	904	19.0	
Junior	5,949	19.7	999	21.0	
Senior	8,010	26.5	1,005	21.2	
Graduate or Prof 1st year	1,961	6.5	448	9.4	
Graduate or Prof 2nd year	1,517	5.0	352	7.4	
Graduate or Prof 3rd year	863	2.9	206	4.3	
Graduate or Prof 4th year or higher	1,173	3.9	280	5.9	
Year first enrolled in the college or university	I		1		
2010 or earlier	2,993	9.9	581	12.2	
2011	4,948	16.3	715	15.1	
2012	6,022	19.9	975	20.5	
2013	7,477	24.7	1,184	24.9	
2014 or 2015	8,831	29.2	1,295	27.3	
Hispanic or Latino?					
Yes	1,128	3.7	168	3.5	
No	29,142	96.3	4,582	96.5	

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey (continued)

Characteristic	Wei	ghted	Un-wei	ighted
Category	Number	%	Number	%
Race				
White only	24,191	79.9	3,913	82.4
Black only	2,290	7.6	279	5.9
Asian only	2,552	8.4	384	8.1
Other/Multi race	1,237	4.1	174	3.7
Gender Identity	'	•		
Female	15,644	51.7	3,067	64.6
Male	14,407	47.6	1,651	34.8
Other	219	0.7	32	0.7
Sexual Orientation	'	•		
Heterosexual	27,619	92.4	4,345	92.6
Non-Heterosexual	2,287	7.6	347	7.4
Since enrolled in college or university, ho	ave you been in a partr	nered relationship?	· · ·	
Yes	23,751	78.5	3,796	79.9
No	6,499	21.5	950	20.0
Did not answer the question	20	0.1	4	0.1
Have a disability registered with the uni	versity?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Yes	1,307	4.3	196	4.1
No	28,917	95.5	4,547	95.7
Did not answer the question	46	0.2	7	0.1

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to anOfficial by Gender and Enrollment Status1,2

				Female (n=3,067)			Male (n	=1,651)	
Survev Item	Survey Item		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Graduate or Professional (n=528)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
If someone were	to report s	sexual assa	ult or sexu	al miscond	uct to an o	fficial, how	likely is it	that		
Students would s	support the	e person ma	aking the r	eport.						
Not at all	2.2	0.2	1.8	0.3	2.4	0.5	2.9	0.5	1.4	0.5
A little	10.8	0.5	11.9	0.6	9.6	1.0	9.9	0.9	9.0	1.5
Somewhat	34.9	0.8	36.6	0.9	39.6	1.5	31.8	1.6	34.8	1.7
Very	41.0	0.7	39.0	1.0	40.5	1.8	42.9	1.5	44.7	2.1
Extremely	11.1	0.4	10.7	0.7	7.8	1.0	12.5	0.9	10.1	1.2
The alleged offer	nder(s) or t	heir associo	ates would	Fretaliate a	gainst the	person ma	king the re	port.	1	•
Not at all	9.3	0.4	7.2	0.5	6.4	0.8	12.8	1.0	7.8	1.3
A little	28.7	0.7	26.2	0.8	23.4	1.6	32.5	1.6	29.2	1.6
Somewhat	40.8	0.7	41.2	0.8	47.7	1.9	39.1	1.4	40.8	1.9
Very	16.7	0.4	20.5	0.8	18.4	1.2	11.4	0.9	18.2	1.6
Extremely	4.6	0.3	5.0	0.4	4.0	0.7	4.1	0.6	4.0	0.9
Campus officials	would tak	e the repor	t seriously.							
Not at all	2.7	0.3	2.6	0.3	2.0	0.5	3.1	0.5	2.2	0.6
A little	8.7	0.4	10.4	0.7	8.2	1.0	7.0	0.7	8.1	1.1
Somewhat	24.0	0.7	27.0	1.0	24.4	1.3	21.7	1.1	18.2	1.6
Very	37.8	0.7	40.0	1.0	40.8	1.9	33.9	1.1	40.9	2.6
Extremely	26.9	0.7	20.0	0.8	24.7	1.6	34.3	1.3	30.6	2.2

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to anOfficial by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (r	า=3,067)			Male (n	=1,651)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,314)	Profes	iate or ssional 753)		raduate ,123)	Profe	iate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Campus officials	would pro	otect the so	afety of the	person ma	king the re	port.				
Not at all	4.1	0.3	4.5	0.4	4.7	0.8	3.8	0.5	2.7	0.7
A little	10.5	0.4	12.6	0.7	10.9	1.1	8.9	0.9	6.9	1.1
Somewhat	29.0	0.7	30.6	0.9	32.5	1.7	27.0	1.2	26.5	1.7
Very	34.5	0.8	35.6	0.8	33.1	1.6	32.6	1.2	38.6	2.3
Extremely	21.9	0.7	16.7	0.7	18.8	1.3	27.7	1.2	25.3	1.9
Campus officials	us officials would conduct a fair investigation.									
Not at all	5.1	0.3	4.8	0.4	3.7	0.7	6.2	0.7	3.3	0.8
A little	11.6	0.4	11.2	0.7	10.6	1.1	12.2	0.8	10.6	1.2
Somewhat	33.2	0.7	36.8	1.1	33.3	1.6	30.1	1.2	29.4	1.5
Very	34.4	0.6	34.2	1.0	37.1	2.0	33.2	1.4	39.3	1.8
Extremely	15.6	0.6	13.0	0.7	15.3	1.2	18.3	1.2	17.4	1.4
Campus officials	would tal	ke action a	gainst the d	offender(s).	1				1	1
Not at all	4.8	0.3	5.5	0.4	4.9	0.8	4.2	0.6	3.0	0.7
A little	12.7	0.5	15.9	0.8	15.5	1.1	8.6	0.9	10.7	1.3
Somewhat	34.3	0.7	38.4	1.1	38.3	1.8	29.4	1.3	32.4	1.7
Very	31.3	0.6	29.4	1.0	28.4	1.8	33.1	1.2	35.4	1.9
Extremely	17.0	0.6	10.8	0.5	12.8	1.1	24.6	1.3	18.6	1.7
Campus officials	would tal	ke action to	o address fa	ictors that i	may have l	ed to the s	exual assau	lt or sexual	l miscondu	ct.
Not at all	7.2	0.4	8.0	0.5	7.2	1.0	6.6	0.8	6.1	1.1
A little	16.2	0.6	17.8	0.8	19.1	1.2	14.2	1.2	13.5	1.7
Somewhat	34.9	0.9	35.1	1.0	36.0	1.7	34.8	1.4	35.1	2.1
Very	29.4	0.7	29.6	0.9	27.2	1.4	29.3	1.2	30.6	1.8
Extremely	12.3	0.5	9.6	0.6	10.6	1.0	15.1	1.1	14.7	1.4

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to anOfficial by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=32)									
Survey Item	Undergr (n=2		Graduate or Professional (n=5)							
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
If someone were to report	sexual assault or sexual r	nisconduct to an officio	ıl, how likely is it that							
Students would support th	e person making the repo	ort.								
Not at all	-	-	-	-						
A little	25.4	8.0	S	S						
Somewhat	41.0	9.1	S	S						
Very	22.2	7.8	S	S						
Extremely	S	S	S	S						
The alleged offender(s) or	their associates would rea	taliate against the pers	on making the report.							
Not at all	S	S	-	-						
A little	29.3	9.2	65.8	25.9						
Somewhat	26.9	8.4	S	S						
Very	19.8	7.4	S	S						
Extremely	19.5	8.1	-	-						
Campus officials would tak	e the report seriously.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Not at all	S	S	-	-						
A little	S	S	-	-						
Somewhat	38.2	10.3	S	S						
Very	28.3	9.1	64.2	26.5						
Extremely	20.0	8.6	S	S						

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (n=32)								
Survey Item	Underg (n=			Professional =5)						
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
Campus official would pr	otect the safety of the p	person making the repo	rt.							
Not at all	8.5	4.8	-	-						
A little	20.1	7.4	S	S						
Somewhat	34.4	8.4	S	S						
Very	23.6	8.5	S	S						
Extremely	S	S	S	S						
Campus officials would c	onduct a fair investigati	on.								
Not at all	9.7	5.5	-	-						
A little	30.5	8.8	-	-						
Somewhat	38.1	9.1	S	S						
Very	9.3	4.9	64.2	26.5						
Extremely	S	S	-	-						
Campus officials would to	ake action against the o	ffender(s).								
Not at all	15.2	7.1	S	S						
A little	27.8	8.6	-	-						
Somewhat	26.5	7.7	S	S						
Very	20.3	8.2	S	S						
Extremely	S	S	S	S						
Campus officials would to	ake action to address fa	ctors that may have lec	to the sexual assault or se	exual misconduct.						
Not at all	12.8	6.2	-	-						
A little	38.6	9.5	S	S						
Somewhat	11.1	6.4	-	-						
Very	26.7	8.2	S	S						
Extremely	S	S	S	S						

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questionning, not listed.

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

		Total		Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	:1,651)	
Survey Item	Тс			Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Have you been in any of the following situations? If so,	what did y	ou do?								
Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted										
Yes	21.9	0.7	28.7	0.9	15.3	1.1	17.9	1.2	12.0	1.4
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	11.0	1.2	9.2	1.1	12.0	3.0	14.1	3.0	10.3	4.1
Did nothing for another reason	20.5	1.4	20.1	1.6	12.2	3.2	22.1	3.0	23.5	5.8
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	57.0	1.6	60.8	1.7	64.4	3.7	49.4	3.5	50.2	6.6
Took action in another way	11.5	1.0	9.9	1.3	11.4	2.6	14.4	2.4	15.9	4.2
No	78.1	0.7	71.3	0.9	84.7	1.1	82.1	1.2	88.0	1.4
Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter	1				1					
Yes	55.1	0.8	62.3	0.9	38.6	1.5	56.6	1.3	34.5	1.8
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	21.7	0.7	27.7	1.1	18.8	2.5	15.0	1.2	19.7	3.1
Did nothing for another reason	49.6	1.1	40.6	1.2	50.0	3.0	59.3	2.1	59.8	3.9
Directly intervened to stop it	10.6	0.8	12.1	0.8	13.8	2.0	8.8	1.4	6.2	1.8
Spoke to someone else to seek help	8.4	0.6	10.4	0.6	5.8	1.4	6.5	1.2	7.3	1.9
Took action in another way	9.7	0.6	9.2	0.8	11.6	1.6	10.4	1.1	7.0	2.3
No	44.9	0.8	37.7	0.9	61.4	1.5	43.4	1.3	65.5	1.8

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n=3,067)				Male (n=1,651)			
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing	manner										
Yes	23.1	0.7	27.1	0.9	22.3	1.7	20.5	1.4	14.9	1.3	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	22.3	1.4	25.9	1.7	19.1	2.9	18.2	2.7	17.4	4.0	
Did nothing for another reason	27.1	1.6	23.6	1.4	24.5	2.8	31.7	3.0	35.0	6.1	
Directly intervened to stop it	20.3	1.3	19.8	1.6	20.4	2.8	20.1	2.5	29.7	5.6	
Spoke to someone else to seek help	15.2	1.2	16.4	1.5	14.5	2.4	14.1	2.3	9.9	3.3	
Took action in another way	15.1	1.1	14.3	1.4	21.4	3.4	15.9	2.1	7.9	3.0	
Νο	76.9	0.7	72.9	0.9	77.7	1.7	79.5	1.4	85.1	1.3	

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN	(n=32)		
Survey Item		graduate =27)	Graduate or Professional (n=5)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what di	d you do?				
Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted					
Yes	46.7	11.4	S	S	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	S	S	s	S	
Did nothing for another reason	26.2	15.1	-	-	
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	67.7	16.1	-	-	
Took action in another way	-	-	-	-	
No	53.3	11.4	76.9	24.3	
Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter		•	, 		
Yes	40.1	9.6	S	S	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	S	S	S	S	
Did nothing for another reason	43.1	16.6	-	-	
Directly intervened to stop it	S	S	-	-	
Spoke to someone else to seek help	-	-	-	-	
Took action in another way	23.0	12.1	-	-	
No	59.9	9.6	46.9	28.3	

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (n=32)						
Survey Item		graduate =27)	Graduate or Professional (n=5)					
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr				
Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner								
Yes	49.7	10.3	65.8	25.9				
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	39.3	15.5	-	-				
Did nothing for another reason	24.0	13.4	-	-				
Directly intervened to stop it	-	-	-	-				
Spoke to someone else to seek help	22.5	11.6	S	S				
Took action in another way	S	S	54.4	48.5				
No	50.3	10.3	S	S				

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questionning, not listed.

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender andEnrollment Status1

				Female (I	n=3,067)			Male (n	=1,651)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergrad Total (n=2,314		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Graduate or Professional (n=528)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual assault or	sexual mi	sconduct a	problem a	t university						
Not at all	6.7	0.4	2.7	0.3	8.2	1.0	8.9	0.8	14.2	1.2
A little	23.6	0.7	16.8	0.8	20.4	1.4	30.9	1.2	29.5	1.8
Somewhat	41.0	0.7	43.3	1.2	38.3	1.7	40.6	1.1	36.4	2.1
Very	22.2	0.5	29.3	0.8	26.7	1.6	14.9	0.8	14.8	1.5
Extremely	6.5	0.4	7.8	0.6	6.3	0.8	4.7	0.5	5.1	0.9
Likelihood of exp	eriencing	sexual asso	ault or sexu	al miscond	uct on cam	ipus				
Not at all	44.6	0.6	20.2	0.8	29.0	1.8	69.9	1.0	69.9	1.9
A little	30.7	0.7	38.4	0.9	40.6	2.0	21.6	1.1	23.2	2.0
Somewhat	17.6	0.4	29.2	0.7	22.6	1.6	6.4	0.5	4.9	0.8
Very	5.5	0.3	9.4	0.5	6.4	0.9	1.7	0.4	1.4	0.5
Extremely	1.6	0.2	2.9	0.3	1.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.3
Likelihood of exp	eriencing	sexual asso	ault or sexu	al miscond	uct off cam	npus at uni	versity-spo	nsored ever	nts	
Not at all	43.6	0.7	22.7	0.8	27.9	1.5	65.8	1.2	64.9	2.0
A little	32.0	0.7	38.7	1.2	42.8	1.8	23.7	1.2	25.6	2.1
Somewhat	18.6	0.6	28.5	0.8	22.9	1.5	8.9	0.7	6.9	1.0
Very	4.8	0.3	8.3	0.5	5.5	0.8	1.0	0.3	2.5	0.7
Extremely	1.1	0.1	1.8	0.2	0.8	0.3	0.6	0.2	S	S

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (n=32)									
Survey Item	Undergr (n=2		Graduate or Professional (n=5)								
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr							
Sexual assault or sexual r	nisconduct a problem at university	,									
Not at all	S	S	53.8	29.0							
A little	S	S	-	-							
Somewhat	24.7	8.2	-	-							
Very	27.4	9.2	S	S							
Extremely	37.0	10.6	S	S							
Likelihood of experiencing	g sexual assault or sexual miscond	uct on campus									
Not at all	21.5	8.5	S	S							
A little	27.5	9.3	S	S							
Somewhat	22.6	7.2	S	S							
Very	19.9	9.3	-	-							
Extremely	8.6	4.5	-	-							
Likelihood of experiencing	g sexual assault or sexual miscond	uct off campus at university-spor	nsored events								
Not at all	25.1	8.0	S	S							
A little	22.2	8.0	57.3	29.4							
Somewhat	41.1	9.6	S	S							
Very	8.5	4.8	-	-							
Extremely	S	S	-	-							

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questionning, not listed.

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=1,651)			
Survey Item	Total		U U	raduate ,314)	Graduate or Professional (n=753)			Undergraduate (n=1,123)		uate or ssional 528)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Awareness of services											
Aware of services provided by RSVP (Relationship and Sexual Violence Prevention) Center	54.0	0.7	62.5	0.9	44.5	1.7	51.2	1.5	34.0	1.8	
Aware of services provided by MU Student Health Center	92.2	0.4	95.3	0.4	91.5	0.9	90.3	0.8	86.7	1.6	
Aware of services provided by MU Counseling Center	77.4	0.5	82.3	0.7	78.2	1.1	73.5	1.2	68.9	1.8	
Aware of services provided by True North	22.6	0.5	28.5	0.8	25.7	1.6	17.9	1.0	12.3	1.2	
Aware of services provided by MU Title IX Office	58.1	0.8	54.5	1.2	66.7	1.8	56.9	1.3	71.1	2.0	

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

				Female (n=3,067)			Male (r	i=1,651)	
Survey Item	Total			raduate ,314)	Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	iate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Knowledgeable about how	sexual assau	lt and sexual	misconduct d	lefined at univ	versity					
Not at all	9.8	0.4	11.0	0.6	8.7	1.0	9.3	0.7	6.8	1.1
A little bit	23.8	0.6	26.4	0.9	20.5	1.5	22.1	1.2	20.8	1.6
Somewhat	32.4	0.7	32.6	1.0	31.0	1.6	33.7	1.4	30.1	2.0
Very	25.2	0.6	22.3	1.0	29.2	1.4	25.8	1.1	32.3	2.0
Extremely	8.8	0.3	7.8	0.5	10.6	1.0	9.1	0.7	10.1	1.3
Knowledgeable about whe	re to get help	at university	if student or	friend experie	ence sexual as	sault or sexu	al misconduc	t	1	
Not at all	7.7	0.3	6.9	0.4	7.1	0.9	9.0	0.7	7.5	1.1
A little bit	20.0	0.5	21.5	0.8	16.7	1.3	20.5	1.1	15.4	1.4
Somewhat	30.8	0.6	29.6	0.9	29.5	1.6	32.9	1.2	29.2	1.6
Very	28.8	0.6	27.9	0.9	31.8	1.6	26.9	1.2	36.8	1.8
Extremely	12.6	0.4	14.1	0.7	14.9	1.1	10.7	0.7	11.1	1.3
Knowledgeable about whe	re to make a	report if stude	ent or friend e	experience se.	xual assault o	or sexual misc	onduct at uni	versity	1	
Not at all	14.1	0.5	16.9	0.7	11.5	1.0	12.9	0.8	8.4	1.3
A little bit	21.2	0.6	22.9	0.8	17.6	1.4	21.5	1.1	15.5	1.7
Somewhat	27.9	0.7	28.1	0.9	27.8	1.7	27.4	1.2	29.4	1.9
Very	24.7	0.5	20.6	0.8	29.4	1.6	26.3	0.9	33.6	1.8
Extremely	12.1	0.4	11.4	0.6	13.8	1.0	11.9	0.8	13.1	1.4

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

				Female (n=3,067)		Male (n=1,651)					
Survey Item	Τα	Total		raduate ,314)	Profe	uate or ssional 753)	Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Knowledgeable about what happens wi	hen a student re	oorts sexual	assault or s	sexual misco	onduct at u	niversity						
Not at all	24.9	0.6	28.1	0.9	19.2	1.3	24.5	1.2	16.7	1.9		
A little bit	25.7	0.6	27.9	0.9	21.4	1.6	25.9	1.1	19.2	1.8		
Somewhat	28.5	0.7	27.6	0.9	32.4	1.6	27.6	1.2	34.2	1.8		
Very	14.2	0.5	10.9	0.6	19.4	1.3	15.0	1.0	21.9	1.7		
Extremely	6.6	0.3	5.6	0.5	7.6	1.0	7.0	0.7	7.9	1.2		
Initial university orientation included in	formation about	sexual assa	ult or sexuc	n miscondu	ct			1	1	1		
Yes	45.9	1.3	48.6	1.7	20.1	3.0	50.8	2.5	34.2	3.5		
Not at all	6.4	1.1	3.0	1.0	s	S	11.5	2.4	-	-		
A little	24.7	1.9	23.4	2.6	28.5	7.5	27.4	3.3	17.4	5.6		
Somewhat	42.7	2.2	44.2	2.9	39.6	7.6	41.0	3.8	41.5	7.4		
Very	23.4	1.6	26.1	2.2	26.0	6.1	18.4	3.3	35.4	7.6		
Extremely	2.8	0.7	3.4	1.0	s	S	1.7	0.9	S	S		
No	13.8	1.0	13.0	1.4	31.1	3.1	10.8	1.9	18.2	2.7		
I didn't attend orientation	7.6	0.6	5.9	0.9	20.4	3.3	5.9	1.2	12.4	2.3		
I don't remember	32.7	1.1	32.6	1.7	28.4	3.2	32.6	2.1	35.2	3.8		

Table 3.1a. Percent of Undergraduate Female Students Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Curren	t School	Year	Since En	itering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	2,229	16.9	0.8	3,591	27.2	0.7
Penetration	748	5.7	0.5	1,617	12.3	0.5
Physical force only	416	3.2	0.4	936	7.1	0.5
Completed	192	1.5	0.2	488	3.7	0.4
Attempted	265	2.0	0.3	641	4.9	0.4
Incapacitation only	321	2.4	0.3	736	5.6	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	109	0.8	0.2	274	2.1	0.3
Sexual Touching	1,854	14.0	0.7	2,778	21.0	0.8
Physical force only	1,428	10.8	0.7	2,105	15.9	0.7
Incapacitation only	592	4.5	0.4	956	7.2	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	171	1.3	0.2	212	1.6	0.2

Table 3.1b. Percent of Graduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetrationor Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs.Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Current	: School	Year	Since Er	itering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	105	4.3	0.7	302	12.4	1.1
Penetration	47	1.9	0.5	154	6.3	0.8
Physical force only	31	1.3	0.4	100	4.1	0.6
Completed	10	0.4	0.2	46	1.9	0.4
Attempted	24	1.0	0.3	79	3.2	0.6
Incapacitation only	12	0.5	0.2	57	2.3	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	S	S	23	1.0	0.3
Sexual Touching	74	3.0	0.6	199	8.1	0.9
Physical force only	57	2.3	0.5	143	5.8	0.8
Incapacitation only	20	0.8	0.3	63	2.6	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	s	S	9	0.4	0.2

Table 3.1c. Percent of Undergraduate Male Students Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Current	: School	Year	Since En	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	381	3.4	0.5	675	5.9	0.7
Penetration	129	1.1	0.3	258	2.3	0.4
Physical force only	36	0.3	0.1	97	0.9	0.2
Completed	29	0.3	0.1	52	0.5	0.2
Attempted	S	s	S	67	0.6	0.2
Incapacitation only	93	0.8	0.2	171	1.5	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	s	S	S	S	S
Sexual Touching	273	2.4	0.4	515	4.5	0.5
Physical force only	200	1.8	0.4	355	3.1	0.5
Incapacitation only	86	0.8	0.3	180	1.6	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	s	S

Table 3.1d. Percent of Graduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Current	School	Year	Since En	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	51	1.7	0.5	93	3.1	0.8
Penetration	17	0.6	0.3	48	1.6	0.6
Physical force only	S	s	S	S	S	S
Completed	S	S	S	S	s	S
Attempted	S	s	S	S	s	S
Incapacitation only	17	0.6	0.3	48	1.6	0.6
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	45	1.5	0.5	71	2.3	0.6
Physical force only	29	0.9	0.4	35	1.2	0.4
Incapacitation only	22	0.7	0.4	49	1.6	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.1e. Percent of Undergraduate Students of Other Gender ExperiencingNonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation byTactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Curren	t School	Year	Since En	tering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	36	18.5	7.1	42	21.3	8.8
Penetration	36	18.5	7.1	36	18.5	7.1
Physical force only	26	13.3	6.0	26	13.3	6.0
Completed	26	13.3	6.0	26	13.3	6.0
Attempted	S	S	S	19	9.7	5.2
Incapacitation only	S	s	S	S	S	S
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	S	S	S	S	S
Sexual Touching	26	13.3	6.0	31	16.0	6.1
Physical force only	S	s	s	16	8.2	4.2
Incapacitation only	S	s	S	S	s	S
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	s	s	S	s	s

Table 3.1f. Percent of Graduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Current	Schoo	l Year	Since Ent	ering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	_
Penetration	-	-	-	-	-	-
Physical force only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Completed	-	-	-	-	-	_
Attempted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	-	-	-	-	-	_
Physical force only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,314)	Graduate or Professional (n=753)			raduate ,123)	Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	14.8	0.4	26.4	0.6	12.5	1.1	4.7	0.6	2.4	0.8
Non-Heterosexual	24.8	2.3	40.4	3.7	12.7	4.7	18.7	4.1	9.2	3.7
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	25.7	3.2	38.8	5.0	20.7	7.2	15.5	6.0	_	-
Not Hispanic	15.1	0.4	26.7	0.6	12.0	1.0	5.6	0.7	3.2	0.8
Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	20.1	4.5	36.4	7.1	25.0	9.7	S	S	S	S
Asian	9.5	1.2	25.2	3.5	8.7	2.4	4.6	2.1	S	S
Black or African American	14.9	1.7	23.8	2.6	24.2	7.3	S	S	-	-
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	16.6	0.5	28.4	0.8	12.3	1.2	6.3	0.7	4.1	1.1
Disability					1				1	
Yes	25.3	3.0	38.3	4.2	14.8	8.0	13.9	5.1	-	-
No	15.1	0.4	26.7	0.7	12.2	1.1	5.6	0.6	3.0	0.8
Marital status	1								1	
Never married	9.7	1.0	-	-	16.1	1.5	-	-	4.3	1.3
Not married but living with a partner	7.7	2.1	-	-	10.3	2.7	-	-	S	S
Married	1.0	0.4	-	-	2.7	1.1	-	-	-	-
Divorced or separated	S	s	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-
Other	10.9	4.9	-	-	20.0	9.9	-	-	S	S

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Graduate or Undergraduate Professional (n=2,314) (n=753)			raduate ,123)	Profes	iate or ssional 528)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Tir	ning of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	14.4	1.3	23.0	1.9	-	-	4.1	1.3	-	-
Sophomore	10.9	0.9	16.9	1.5	-	-	3.3	1.1	-	-
Junior	9.3	0.8	14.7	1.3	-	-	2.7	0.7	-	-
Senior	9.4	0.8	14.9	1.5	-	-	3.4	0.8	-	-
Since entering co	llege				1				1	
Freshman	14.4	1.3	23.0	1.9	-	-	4.1	1.3	-	-
Sophomore	15.9	1.1	24.7	1.7	-	-	5.1	1.4	-	-
Junior	16.7	1.1	26.3	1.7	-	-	5.0	1.1	-	-
Senior	20.9	0.9	32.8	1.5	-	-	8.2	1.2	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=:	1,651)	
Survey Item	1	Total		raduate ,314)	Profes	iate or ssional 753)		raduate ,123)	Profes	ate or sional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Graduate/Professional										
Current year										
1st year	3.6	0.7	-	-	6.4	1.3	-	-	S	S
2nd year	3.0	0.9	-	-	3.0	1.1	-	-	2.9	1.3
3rd year	2.2	0.9	-	-	3.8	1.7	-	-	S	s
4th year	2.9	1.4	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	s
5th year	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
6th year or higher	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Since entering college										
1st year	8.0	1.0	-	-	13.6	1.8	-	-	2.9	1.2
2nd year	8.5	1.4	-	-	12.4	2.1	-	-	5.3	1.7
3rd year	5.3	1.6	-	-	11.1	3.4	-	-	S	s
4th year	4.9	1.6	-	-	7.2	2.6	-	-	S	S
5th year	6.3	2.8	-	-	17.0	8.8	-	-	S	S
6th year or higher	5.4	2.3	-	-	13.1	5.0	-	-	-	-

¹ Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

				Rap	е			Sexual Battery			
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	24.2	0.5	11.6	0.5	6.1	0.9	20.7	0.7	8.2	0.9	
Non-Heterosexual	36.1	3.3	21.0	3.1	9.2	4.2	27.9	3.2	8.4	3.8	
Ethnicity			-								
Hispanic	35.9	4.4	18.1	4.9	20.7	7.2	27.3	3.9	s	s	
Not Hispanic	24.4	0.5	12.0	0.5	5.7	0.7	20.7	0.8	8.3	0.9	
Race											
American Indian or Alaska Native	34.4	6.4	15.6	6.0	S	S	24.8	6.7	19.2	9.4	
Asian	19.1	2.3	7.3	2.1	3.6	1.6	23.4	3.5	6.6	2.2	
Black or African American	23.8	2.5	9.0	1.7	16.3	6.9	19.7	2.6	s	s	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-	
White	26.1	0.7	13.0	0.5	6.1	0.9	21.8	0.9	8.4	1.0	
Disability									1		
Yes	36.1	3.9	15.4	3.3	14.8	8.0	32.6	4.4	s	s	
No	24.4	0.6	12.1	0.5	5.9	0.8	20.5	0.8	8.1	0.9	
Marital status									1		
Never married	16.1	1.5	-	-	8.1	1.1	-	-	10.7	1.3	
Not married but living with a partner	10.3	2.7	-	-	4.3	1.9	-	-	8.2	2.5	
Married	2.7	1.1	-	-	s	S	-	-	2.1	1.0	
Divorced or separated	s	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-	
Other	20.0	9.9	-	-	20.0	9.9	-	-	-	-	

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Rap	e			Sexual Ba	attery	
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	graduate		uate or ssional	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Ti	iming of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	23.0	1.9	7.1	1.1	-	-	21.7	1.9	-	-
Sophomore	16.9	1.5	5.3	0.9	-	-	14.1	1.4	-	-
Junior	14.7	1.3	6.3	0.9	-	-	10.7	1.2	-	-
Senior	14.9	1.5	4.6	0.9	-	-	11.9	1.3	-	-
Since entering co	ollege									
Freshman	23.0	1.9	7.1	1.1	-	-	21.7	1.9	-	-
Sophomore	24.7	1.7	10.2	1.2	-	-	19.6	1.6	-	-
Junior	26.3	1.7	13.0	1.1	-	-	19.1	1.6	-	-
Senior	32.8	1.5	16.6	1.2	-	-	23.5	1.5	-	-
Graduate/Profess	sional									1
Current year										
1st year	6.4	1.3	-	-	2.8	0.8	-	-	5.0	1.2
2nd year	3.0	1.1	-	-	2.0	0.9	-	-	1.5	0.8
3rd year	3.8	1.7	-	-	s	S	-	-	2.9	1.5
4th year	S	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	s	s
5th year	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S
6th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Rape				Sexual Ba	attery	
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		ate or sional	Underg	raduate		ate or sional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Since entering	college									
1st year	13.6	1.8	-	-	6.7	1.3	-	-	9.1	1.7
2nd year	12.4	2.1	-	-	6.6	1.6	-	-	8.7	1.8
3rd year	11.1	3.4	-	-	6.1	2.3	-	-	6.5	2.1
4th year	7.2	2.6	-	-	3.7	2.1	-	-	4.4	1.9
5th year	17.0	8.8	-	-	S	S	-	-	11.9	7.9
6th year	13.1	5.0	-	-	8.4	4.3	-	-	7.6	4.0

¹Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed,

penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.4a. Number of Times Females Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Enrollment Status for the Current year and Since Entering College^{1, 2}

Survey Item	Total			raduate ,314)	Graduate or Professional (n=753)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Current school year							
Number of times							
0 times	94.9	0.4	94.3	0.5	98.1	0.5	
1 time	3.3	0.3	3.6	0.4	1.6	0.5	
2 times	0.9	0.2	1.1	0.2	S	S	
3 times	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.2	-	-	
4 or more times	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	S	S	
Since entering college			'				
Number of times							
0 times	88.7	0.5	87.7	0.5	93.7	0.8	
1 time	6.7	0.4	7.3	0.5	3.7	0.6	
2 times	2.0	0.2	2.2	0.3	1.3	0.4	
3 times	1.4	0.2	1.6	0.2	0.5	0.3	
4 or more times	1.2	0.2	1.2	0.2	0.8	0.3	

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force or c) by incapacitation.

Table 3.4b. Number of Times Students Reported Nonconsensual Sexual Touching by Physical Force or Incapacitation by Current School Year or Since Entering Current College by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,314)	Profes	iate or ssional 753)		raduate ,123)	Profe	iate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year										
Number of times										
0 times	92.6	0.4	86.1	0.7	97.0	0.6	97.6	0.4	98.5	0.5
1 time	3.2	0.2	5.6	0.4	1.4	0.4	1.5	0.3	0.9	0.3
2 times	2.3	0.2	4.4	0.4	1.2	0.4	0.5	0.2	s	S
3 times	1.0	0.1	2.1	0.3	0.5	0.2	S	S	s	S
4 or more times	0.9	0.1	1.8	0.2	-	-	0.2	0.1	-	-
Since entering college										
Number of times										
0 times	88.2	0.4	79.1	0.8	91.9	0.9	95.5	0.5	97.7	0.6
1 time	4.1	0.2	6.8	0.4	2.8	0.5	1.9	0.3	1.5	0.4
2 times	3.5	0.2	6.2	0.4	2.8	0.5	1.4	0.3	S	S
3 times	1.6	0.1	3.2	0.3	1.4	0.4	0.3	0.1	S	S
4 or more times	2.6	0.2	4.8	0.4	1.2	0.4	0.9	0.3	s	S

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force or b) by incapacitation.

Table 3.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Forceor Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break		'			
No incidents occurred during academic break	78.2	3.6	82.5	3.5	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	7.8	2.2	7.6	2.2	
All incidents occurred during academic break	14.1	2.9	9.9	3.2	
Location					
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	53.9	4.1	47.8	4.9	
No	46.1	4.1	52.2	4.9	
On university property					
University residence hall/dorm	44.7	5.4	34.5	5.5	
Fraternity or Sorority house	58.4	4.5	60.4	5.4	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-	
Other residential housing	14.4	3.3	15.9	4.4	
Non-residential building	6.1	2.2	S	S	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	9.9	3.2	8.2	3.1	
Not on university property					
Private residence	87.5	3.3	77.5	5.4	
Fraternity or Sorority house	7.5	2.7	12.4	3.8	
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	-	-	
Restaurant, bar, or club	12.1	3.7	7.2	3.2	
Other social venue	5.8	2.5	S	S	
Outdoor or recreational space	4.3	1.9	S	S	
Some other place	10.2	3.1	10.5	3.8	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.5b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By I	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break					
No incidents occurred during academic break	82.9	1.8	82.3	2.9	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	13.4	1.6	6.2	1.8	
All incidents occurred during academic break	3.7	1.0	11.5	2.5	
Location					
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	55.5	2.4	54.5	4.1	
No	44.5	2.4	45.5	4.1	
On university property					
University residence hall/dorm	26.8	3.7	32.7	5.2	
Fraternity or Sorority house	61.6	2.7	65.6	4.9	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-	
Other residential housing	10.2	1.9	13.2	4.3	
Non-residential building	9.2	1.8	S	S	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	15.4	2.7	7.5	2.9	
Not on university property					
Private residence	47.2	3.7	68.5	7.1	
Fraternity or Sorority house	20.3	3.1	4.1	2.2	
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	S	S	
Restaurant, bar, or club	42.2	3.4	24.6	5.4	
Other social venue	12.5	2.4	S	S	
Outdoor or recreational space	4.8	1.7	6.1	2.9	
Some other place	7.8	2.0	S	S	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break		·			
No incidents occurred during academic break	84.0	5.8	80.4	8.7	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	12.3	4.8	S	S	
All incidents occurred during academic break	S	S	S	S	
Location					
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	32.8	9.4	34.5	10.7	
No	67.2	9.4	65.5	10.7	
On university property					
University residence hall/dorm	28.1	15.2	66.5	21.6	
Fraternity or Sorority house	23.7	10.5	-	-	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-	
Other residential housing	-	-	-	-	
Non-residential building	S	S	-	-	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	44.7	14.0	53.4	22.6	
Not on university property			·		
Private residence	47.2	8.8	78.8	11.1	
Fraternity or Sorority house	S	S	-	-	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-	
Restaurant, bar, or club	38.1	13.1	25.8	12.1	
Other social venue	28.5	10.2	S	S	
Outdoor or recreational space	S	S	S	S	
Some other place	18.3	7.5	S	S	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	S	S	3.3	1.7	
Male	100	0.0	98.6	0.9	
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	
Number of offenders					
1 offender	70.2	3.2	76.2	3.1	
2 offenders	18.9	2.6	16.0	3.1	
3 or more offenders	10.9	2.0	7.8	2.4	
University affiliation	-				
Student	76.4	3.2	84.5	3.5	
Faculty or instructor	s	S	S	s	
Coach or trainer	s	S	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	S	S	S	S	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	S	S	
The person was not affiliated with university	8.9	2.0	7.2	2.3	
Don't know association with university	20.8	2.9	17.5	3.3	
Relationship to victim					
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	21.2	2.6	13.6	3.4	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	16.8	2.9	6.7	2.2	
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	2.9	1.1	S	S	
Friend or acquaintance	49.0	3.8	70.1	3.7	
Stranger	32.0	3.0	26.8	3.8	
Other	2.4	1.1	-	-	
Don't Know	1.3	0.7	2.1	1.2	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.6b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics			,		
Gender					
Female	1.0	0.7	S	s	
Male	99.5	0.3	99.2	0.5	
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	
Number of offenders			1		
1 offender	66.6	2.2	69.8	3.6	
2 offenders	22.0	2.2	21.6	3.0	
3 or more offenders	11.4	1.5	8.6	2.2	
University affiliation			1		
Student	86.1	1.7	86.2	3.0	
Faculty or instructor	1.0	0.5	-	-	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	S	S	S	s	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	S	S	
The person was not affiliated with university	12.1	1.9	8.3	2.7	
Don't know association with university	9.0	1.6	9.5	2.2	
Relationship to victim			1		
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	11.0	1.8	11.5	3.0	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	7.2	1.3	5.5	1.7	
Teacher or advisor	s	s	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	2.5	0.7	S	s	
Friend or acquaintance	39.8	2.5	51.6	4.4	
Stranger	53.2	2.5	40.6	3.8	
Other	1.4	0.5	1.8	1.0	
Don't Know	3.1	0.9	1.8	1.0	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.6c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	75.3	7.5	83.8	7.4	
Male	29.5	7.4	22.0	9.0	
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	
Number of offenders			1		
1 offender	78.8	7.5	76.4	9.0	
2 offenders	13.2	6.3	17.7	7.9	
3 or more offenders	8.0	4.4	S	S	
University affiliation			1		
Student	77.2	7.0	96.8	3.0	
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	S	S	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	
The person was not affiliated with university	18.9	6.2	13.6	10.0	
Don't know association with university	17.1	6.0	S	S	
Relationship to victim			-		
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	10.9	5.4	S	S	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	S	S	-	-	
Teacher or advisor	S	S	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	S	S	-	-	
Friend or acquaintance	54.2	8.2	69.3	9.4	
Stranger	32.9	9.1	32.1	9.6	
Other	S	S	-	-	
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	64.1	2.3	89.1	2.9	
No	21.6	2.3	5.2	2.0	
Don't know	14.4	2.2	5.7	2.0	
Offender using drugs	I	1		1	
Yes	14.0	2.2	12.5	2.9	
No	45.8	3.1	45.9	4.2	
Don't know	40.3	2.9	41.6	4.1	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol		1		-1	
Yes	68.6	2.5	95.4	2.4	
No	31.4	2.5	4.6	2.4	
Victim voluntarily using drugs					
Yes	7.3	1.7	6.4	2.1	
No	92.7	1.7	93.6	2.1	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent				
Yes, I am certain	1.8	1.3	4.1	1.9	
I suspect, but I am not certain	14.1	2.5	11.9	2.6	
No	76.2	2.9	72.5	4.2	
Don't know	7.9	1.8	11.5	2.6	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent	-			
Yes	26.7	3.6	37.1	4.7	
No	55.8	4.1	33.8	4.2	
Not sure	17.6	3.3	29.1	4.0	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	72.7	2.2	83.1	3.3	
No	11.9	1.4	5.9	1.8	
Don't know	15.4	1.9	11.0	3.0	
Offender using drugs	· · · ·			1	
Yes	8.8	1.3	9.1	2.1	
No	33.0	2.1	33.3	3.8	
Don't know	58.2	2.2	57.7	3.8	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1		
Yes	68.2	2.1	89.0	2.7	
No	31.8	2.1	11.0	2.7	
Victim voluntarily using drugs			•		
Yes	4.2	1.0	7.1	2.0	
No	95.8	1.0	92.9	2.0	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent		•		
Yes, I am certain	1.7	0.6	S	S	
I suspect, but I am not certain	4.2	1.2	4.7	1.6	
No	87.4	1.9	83.6	3.0	
Don't know	6.7	1.2	10.1	2.5	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent			-	
Yes	5.3	1.4	14.2	3.1	
No	90.6	1.6	68.9	4.1	
Not sure	4.1	1.2	16.9	3.3	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	65.9	7.1	64.1	10.6	
No	16.9	5.0	17.8	8.1	
Don't know	17.2	5.5	18.1	8.1	
Offender using drugs					
Yes	S	S	-	-	
No	71.1	8.1	61.1	12.4	
Don't know	24.1	7.7	38.9	12.4	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Yes	53.1	9.7	83.8	7.4	
No	46.9	9.7	16.2	7.4	
Victim voluntarily using drugs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Yes	9.5	5.5	15.9	10.6	
No	90.5	5.5	84.1	10.6	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent				
Yes, I am certain	-	-	-	-	
I suspect, but I am not certain	-	-	S	S	
No	98.2	1.6	90.6	6.5	
Don't know	S	S	S	S	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent				
Yes	S	S	34.7	11.0	
No	81.3	7.9	38.7	13.8	
Not sure	S	S	26.5	10.9	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incap	acitation
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
Physical				
Physical injuries	16.2	2.6	2.4	1.4
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	90.1	5.3	S	S
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	33.6	9.6	S	s
Other injuries	S	S	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	3.2	1.2	3.3	1.5
Become pregnant from the experience	S	S	-	-
None of the above	80.8	2.7	93.1	2.5
Emotional				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	46.3	3.0	22.9	3.9
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	39.4	3.5	14.1	3.2
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	37.6	3.2	24.0	3.9
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	25.4	3.3	19.9	3.9
Feeling numb or detached	51.1	3.4	24.7	3.5
Headaches or stomach aches	22.1	2.4	6.8	2.1
Eating problems or disorders	13.1	2.4	7.4	2.6
Increased drug or alcohol use	14.7	2.5	17.3	3.7
None of the above	22.8	2.9	50.9	4.9

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incap	acitation
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
Physical				
Physical injuries	1.5	0.7	2.2	1.1
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	65.8	20.9	100.0	0.0
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	S	S	-	-
Other injuries	S	S	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	1.2	0.6	S	S
Become pregnant from the experience	S	S	S	S
None of the above	95.9	1.1	96.5	1.3
Emotional				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	14.4	2.0	17.6	3.5
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	17.1	1.7	13.8	3.0
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	9.6	1.3	12.6	2.7
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	11.6	1.7	10.5	2.4
Feeling numb or detached	12.2	1.5	12.1	2.6
Headaches or stomach aches	5.6	1.2	4.4	1.6
Eating problems or disorders	4.5	0.9	S	S
Increased drug or alcohol use	6.0	1.0	8.4	2.2
None of the above	65.9	2.3	62.7	3.6

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	By F	orce	By Incap	acitation
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				1
Physical				
Physical injuries	-	-	-	-
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	-	-	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	-	-	-	-
Other injuries	-	-	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	-	-	-	-
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Frankissal				
Emotional	0.4			
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	9.4	5.1	S	S
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	S	S	S	S
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	S	S	S	S
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	S	S	S	S
Feeling numb or detached	9.4	5.1	-	-
Headaches or stomach aches	-	-	-	-
Eating problems or disorders	S	S	S	S
Increased drug or alcohol use	S	S	17.6	8.9
None of the above	76.9	6.4	69.5	10.1

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

		Pen	etration			Sexual Touching			
				By apacitation		By Force		By citation	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program									
Reported to a Program									
Yes	21.2	2.8	14.6	3.4	3.9	0.9	4.0	1.5	
No	78.8	2.8	85.4	3.4	96.1	0.9	96.0	1.5	
Did not contact a Program Did not know where to go or who to tell Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	13.3 29.0	2.6 3.8	6.3 21.6	2.2	7.7 10.7	1.4 1.3	9.5 11.4	2.6	
emotionally difficult I did not think anyone would believe me	7.9	2.2	3.8	1.8	2.5	0.9	4.1	1.6	
I did not think it was serious enough to report	61.0	3.7	60.0	5.8	78.9	1.9	79.2	3.0	
I did not want the person to get into trouble	26.8	3.6	32.5	4.2	11.1	1.6	9.8	2.2	
I feared negative social consequences	24.8	3.6	18.8	3.9	8.7	1.4	8.6	2.5	
I did not think anything would be done	25.1	3.0	16.3	3.4	15.5	1.6	12.2	2.7	
I feared it would not be kept confidential	17.4	2.9	13.6	3.4	8.2	1.5	5.1	1.7	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	3.6	1.2	S	S	3.5	1.0	4.5	1.8	
Incident did not occur while attending school	19.3	3.1	20.8	3.4	10.1	1.7	12.0	3.3	
Other Reason	9.2	2.0	19.2	4.2	12.8	1.6	13.8	3.2	

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

	Penetration				Sexual Touching			
	Ву	Force	By Incap	acitation	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report to others								
Friend	80.0	2.6	85.8	3.4	75.6	2.3	74.5	3.6
Family member	20.3	3.1	16.2	3.7	12.7	1.8	6.8	1.9
Faculty	4.2	1.4	3.6	1.7	1.4	0.7	S	S
Someone else	7.3	1.8	4.3	1.7	5.1	1.1	2.4	1.3
I didn't tell anyone else	18.5	2.7	16.5	3.8	22.6	2.3	24.9	3.6

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or

threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.9b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation Reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item		Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program					
Reported to a program					
Inapplicable	-	-	-	-	
No	97.3	2.4	92.3	5.0	
Yes	S	S	S	S	
Did not contact a program					
Did not know where to go or who to tell	15.0	5.6	S	S	
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	S	S	S	S	
I did not think anyone would believe me	9.6	5.3	S	S	
I did not think anything would be done	13.4	6.3	24.5	10.3	
I did not think it was serious enough to report	60.5	7.4	73.3	9.0	
I did not want the person to get into trouble	8.3	4.5	S	S	
I feared it would not be kept confidential	S	S	S	S	
I feared negative social consequences	8.3	4.8	20.4	10.1	
Incident did not occur while attending school	19.0	6.8	S	S	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	9.0	5.2	-	-	
Other Reason	11.6	6.0	19.2	8.2	
Report to others				-	
Friend	72.0	8.7	69.7	10.2	
Family member	S	S	S	S	
Faculty	-	-	-	-	
Someone else	8.5	4.5	S	S	
I didn't tell anyone else	33.2	9.5	27.2	10.0	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college. Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2}

		RSVP (Relationship and Sexual Violence Prevention) Center		MU Student Health Center		eling Center
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	38.1	6.2	40.3	5.3	47.6	5.9
When contacted	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			'	
Fall of 2014 - present	66.0	11.3	49.8	9.7	61.1	7.2
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	25.4	10.5	25.8	6.7	18.1	5.2
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	15.7	6.4	17.9	5.3
Prior to Fall 2012	S	S	S	S	S	S
How useful	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			'	
Not at all	-	-	-	-	S	S
A little	-	-	-	-	14.8	7.8
Somewhat	14.7	7.3	28.8	15.0	25.1	10.0
Very	35.2	11.0	52.9	13.8	25.4	10.3
Extremely	63.0	10.3	18.3	8.4	31.8	11.1
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014	'				1	
Pressure from university on whether to proceed						
Yes	-	-	-	-	-	-
To proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
No	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

		ship and Sexual ention) Center	MU Student I	Health Center	MU Counseling Cente	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	38.1	6.2	40.3	5.3	47.6	5.9
Program showed respect towards victim						
Excellent	84.4	8.3	57.2	12.7	79.8	7.8
Very good	15.6	8.3	36.7	12.5	10.7	5.7
Good	-	-	-	-	S	S
Fair	-	-	S	S	S	S
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-
Helped to understand options						
Excellent	93.3	6.4	36.8	12.4	64.2	9.8
Very good	S	S	44.3	12.8	21.0	8.1
Good	-	-	18.8	10.2	S	S
Fair	-	-	-	-	S	S
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

	True	North	MU Title IX Office		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program	9.9	3.0	21.6	4.5	
When contacted					
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	75.6	11.1	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	32.1	17.7	20.1	10.7	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	
Prior to Fall 2012	S	S	-	-	
How useful					
Not at all	-	-	-	-	
A little	-	-	S	S	
Somewhat	-	-	35.2	14.2	
Very	-	-	S	S	
Extremely	S	S	39.6	13.2	
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014			·		
Pressure from university on whether to proceed					
Yes	-	-	S	S	
To proceed	-	-	S	S	
Not to proceed	-	-	S	S	
No	S	S	85.5	13.8	

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

	True	North	MU Title IX Office		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program	9.9	3.0	21.6	4.5	
Program showed respect towards victim	·				
Excellent	S	S	53.4	14.2	
Very good	-	-	S	S	
Good	-	-	40.5	14.4	
Fair	-	-	-	-	
Poor	-	-	-	-	
Helped to understand options	·		·		
Excellent	S	S	54.0	14.0	
Very good	-	-	S	S	
Good	-	-	S	S	
Fair	-	-	26.0	12.6	
Poor	-	-	-	-	

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status¹

				Female (n:	=3,067)			Male (n=1	L,651)	
Survey Item		Total	Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Profes	Graduate or Professional (n=753)		raduate ,123)	Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year				,						
Coercion	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	S	s	0.4	0.2	S	S
Penetration	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	s	s	S	S	s	S
Sexual touching	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	s	s	0.4	0.2	s	S
Absence of affirmative consent	4.0	0.2	7.3	0.4	2.6	0.6	1.5	0.3	0.5	0.3
Penetration	1.8	0.2	3.3	0.3	1.1	0.4	0.7	0.2	s	s
Sexual touching	2.8	0.2	5.0	0.4	1.6	0.4	1.1	0.3	0.5	0.3
Since entering college		1	1	1	1	1			1	
Coercion	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.2	s	s
Penetration	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	s	S	s	S	s	s
Sexual touching	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	s	S	0.5	0.2	s	s
Absence of affirmative consent	6.9	0.3	12.3	0.6	5.0	0.7	2.2	0.4	1.3	0.5
Penetration	2.8	0.2	5.2	0.4	2.1	0.5	0.8	0.3	S	s
Sexual touching	5.0	0.3	8.9	0.5	3.5	0.7	1.7	0.4	1.1	0.5

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

		TGQN (n=	=32)		
Survey Item		raduate =27)	Graduate or Profession (n=5)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Current school year					
Coercion	-	-	-	-	
Penetration	-	-	-	-	
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-	
Absence of affirmative consent	S	S	-	-	
Penetration	-	-	-	-	
Sexual touching	S	S	-	-	
Since entering college					
Coercion	-	-	-	-	
Penetration	-	-	-	-	
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-	
Absence of affirmative consent	19.1	7.3	-	-	
Penetration	S	S	-	-	
Sexual touching	16.4	7.3	-	-	

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,314)	Profes	iate or ssional 753)		raduate ,123)	Graduate or Professional (n=528)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year										
Coercion										
Penetration										
0 times	99.8	0.1	99.8	0.1	99.8	0.1	99.8	0.1	99.6	0.3
1 time	0.1	0.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S
2 times	0.1	0.1	S	s	-	-	S	S	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching										
0 times	99.7	0.1	99.7	0.1	99.9	0.1	99.6	0.2	99.8	0.2
1 time	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	S	S	S	S	-	-
2 times	0.1	0.0	S	S	-	-	S	S	s	S
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	S	s	-	-	S	s	-	-
Without affirmative con	sent									
Penetration										
0 times	98.2	0.2	96.7	0.3	98.9	0.4	99.3	0.2	99.8	0.2
1 time	1.3	0.2	2.3	0.3	1.0	0.4	0.6	0.2	S	s
2 times	0.3	0.1	0.8	0.2	-	-	S	S	-	-
3 times	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	S	S	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	Т	otal		raduate ,314)	Profes	iate or ssional 753)	Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Graduate or Professional (n=528)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Without affirmative con	sent									
Sexual Touching										
0 times	97.2	0.2	95.0	0.4	98.4	0.4	98.9	0.3	99.5	0.3
1 time	1.9	0.2	3.5	0.3	1.2	0.4	0.4	0.2	S	S
2 times	0.5	0.1	0.9	0.2	-	-	0.3	0.2	S	S
3 times	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	-	-	S	S	-	-
4 or more times	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2	S	S	-	-
Since entering college					1					
Coercion										
Penetration										
0 times	99.7	0.1	99.6	0.1	99.7	0.2	99.8	0.1	99.6	0.3
1 time	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	S	s	-	-	S	S
2 times	0.1	0.0	S	S	-	-	S	S	S	S
3 times	0.0	0.0	-	-	S	S	S	S	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching										
0 times	99.7	0.1	99.7	0.1	99.7	0.2	99.5	0.2	99.8	0.2
1 time	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	S	s	S	S	-	-
2 times	0.2	0.1	S	S	-	-	0.3	0.1	s	s
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,314)	Profes	iate or ssional 753)		raduate ,123)	Profe	iate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Without affirmative con	sent									
Penetration										
0 times	97.2	0.2	94.8	0.4	97.9	0.5	99.2	0.3	99.6	0.2
1 time	1.7	0.2	3.2	0.3	1.1	0.3	0.5	0.2	S	s
2 times	0.7	0.1	1.3	0.2	0.8	0.4	S	S	s	s
3 times	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	S	S	S	S	-	-
4 or more times	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	S	S	S	S	-	-
Sexual Touching										
0 times	95.0	0.3	91.1	0.5	96.5	0.7	98.3	0.4	98.9	0.5
1 time	3.1	0.2	5.7	0.4	2.3	0.5	0.7	0.2	S	s
2 times	1.0	0.1	1.8	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.1	S	s
3 times	0.5	0.1	0.7	0.2	s	S	0.3	0.2	-	-
4 or more times	0.5	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.2	S	s

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence ofAffirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 2,314)	Profe	uate or ssional 753)		graduate L,123)	Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation				1					1	
Heterosexual	6.2	0.3	11.6	0.6	4.5	0.7	1.6	0.3	0.8	0.4
Non-Heterosexual	14.1	1.8	21.9	3.2	14.5	5.4	8.3	3.1	5.6	2.9
Ethnicity										-
Hispanic	9.8	2.2	16.9	3.9	-	-	S	S	-	-
Not Hispanic	6.8	0.3	12.1	0.6	5.2	0.7	2.2	0.4	1.4	0.6
Race				•				•		•
American Indian or Alaska Native	5.1	2.0	10.2	4.6	S	S	-	-	-	-
Asian	3.3	0.8	10.2	2.9	2.7	1.4	-	-	S	S
Black or African American	7.5	1.3	10.0	2.0	S	S	4.2	2.2	S	S
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	7.3	0.3	13.0	0.6	5.4	0.8	2.2	0.4	1.5	0.7
Disability					,					
Yes	11.0	1.8	16.7	3.5	20.6	9.2	S	S	-	-
No	6.7	0.3	12.1	0.6	4.6	0.7	2.2	0.4	1.4	0.5

 Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of

 Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,067)		Male (n=1,65:			551)	
Survey Item		Total		graduate 2,314)	Profe	uate or ssional 753)		raduate .,123)	Graduate or Professional (n=528)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Never married	4.3	0.6	-	-	6.6	1.0	-	-	2.3	0.9	
Not married but living with a partner	2.1	0.9	-	-	4.0	1.8	-	-	-	-	
Married	0.5	0.3	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Divorced or separated	4.4	2.9	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Undergraduate Current year		1		1	I			1	I	1	
Freshman	6.8	0.7	11.5	1.2	_	_	1.4	0.7	_	-	
Sophomore	4.3	0.6	6.0	0.8	-	-	1.8	0.8	-	-	
Junior	4.1	0.5	6.1	0.8	-	-	1.7	0.7	-	-	
Senior	4.0	0.6	6.6	0.9	-	-	1.1	0.5	-	-	
Since entering college	I	1	1	1	I			1	I	:	
Freshman	6.8	0.7	11.5	1.2	-	-	1.4	0.7	-	-	
Sophomore	5.7	0.7	8.5	1.1	-	-	1.8	0.8	-	-	
Junior	8.4	0.8	13.1	1.3	-	-	2.6	0.8	-	-	
Senior	9.3	0.8	15.4	1.4	-	-	2.8	0.8	-	-	

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	,	「otal		raduate ,314)	Profes	Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Graduate/Professional										
Current year										
1st	0.9	0.4	-	-	1.9	0.9	-	-	-	-
2nd	2.0	0.6	-	-	3.3	1.1	-	-	S	S
3rd	2.8	1.0	-	-	5.2	2.0	-	-	S	S
4th	1.0	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
5th	1.0	1.0	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Since entering college	<u> </u>									
1st	1.8	0.5	-	-	3.1	0.9	-	-	S	S
2nd	4.3	1.0	-	-	6.6	1.7	-	-	2.3	1.2
3rd	3.4	1.1	-	-	6.6	2.1	-	-	s	s
4th	2.1	1.1	-	-	S	S	-	-	s	s
5th	3.6	1.9	-	-	S	S	-	-	s	s
6th year or more	4.2	2.1	-	-	10.4	4.9	-	-	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Penetra	ation			Sexual To	ouching	
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	graduate		uate or ssional	Under	graduate		uate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	10.5	0.6	5.0	0.4	2.0	0.5	8.4	0.5	3.0	0.6
Non-Heterosexual	20.7	2.7	9.2	2.6	s	s	16.7	3.0	12.6	4.7
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	14.2	3.4	S	s	-	-	15.5	3.9	-	-
Not Hispanic	11.0	0.6	5.3	0.5	2.2	0.5	8.6	0.5	3.7	0.7
Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	10.4	4.0	7.9	4.4	S	S	10.2	4.6	S	S
Asian	7.5	2.1	2.7	1.4	s	s	8.4	2.7	s	s
Black or African American	9.7	1.9	4.3	1.4	s	S	6.9	1.8	s	S
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	11.8	0.6	5.6	0.5	1.8	0.5	9.4	0.6	4.0	0.8
Disability		1		1						
Yes	17.1	3.2	8.1	2.3	s	s	10.7	3.1	17.5	8.8
No	10.9	0.6	5.1	0.4	1.9	0.5	8.8	0.6	3.2	0.6
Marital status				-						
Never married	6.6	1.0	-	-	2.8	0.7	-	-	4.7	1.0
Not married but living with a partner	4.0	1.8	-	-	S	S	-	-	2.8	1.5
Married	1.2	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S
Divorced or separated	S	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Penetra	ation			Sexual To	uching	
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Ti	ming of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	11.5	1.2	5.4	1.1	-	-	8.0	1.2	-	-
Sophomore	6.0	0.8	1.8	0.5	-	-	4.7	0.8	-	-
Junior	6.1	0.8	2.9	0.6	-	-	3.8	0.8	-	-
Senior	6.6	0.9	3.5	0.7	-	-	4.5	0.9	-	-
Since entering co	ollege									
Freshman	11.5	1.2	5.4	1.1	-	-	8.0	1.2	-	-
Sophomore	8.5	1.1	3.0	0.7	-	-	6.2	1.0	-	-
Junior	13.1	1.3	4.8	0.8	-	-	9.6	1.0	-	-
Senior	15.4	1.4	7.3	1.0	-	-	11.2	1.1	-	-
Graduate/Profess	ional									
Current year										
1st year	1.9	0.9	-	-	1.5	0.8	-	-	S	S
2nd year	3.3	1.1	-	-	s	S	-	-	2.5	1.0
3rd year	5.2	2.0	-	-	s	S	-	-	3.2	1.6
4th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5th year	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S
6th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Penetra	ition		Sexual To	uching		
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		ate or ssional	Underg	raduate		ate or sional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Since entering	college									
1st year	3.1	0.9	-	-	2.1	0.8	-	-	2.1	0.7
2nd year	6.6	1.7	-	-	1.4	0.7	-	-	5.2	1.6
3rd year	6.6	2.1	-	-	3.4	1.6	-	-	3.2	1.6
4th year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
5th year	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S
6th year	10.4	4.9	-	-	S	S	-	-	8.5	4.5

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual TouchingInvolving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics byBehavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

		Сое	rcion		Ab	sence of Affirmative Consent				
Survey Item	Penet	ration		cual ching	Pene	tration		xual ching		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Offender characteristics										
Gender										
Male	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	98.7	0.8	98.5	0.8		
Female	S	S	-	-	s	s	2.4	1.1		
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-		
Number of offenders	-						1			
1 offender	74.8	13.5	100.0	0.0	73.2	4.0	66.3	3.2		
2 offenders	25.2	13.5	-	-	17.2	3.5	17.9	2.7		
3 or more offenders	-	-	-	-	9.7	2.7	15.7	2.6		
University affiliation						1	1	1		
Student	82.4	12.2	100.0	0.0	79.0	4.2	89.7	1.9		
Faculty or instructor	S	S	s	S	-	-	-	-		
Coach or trainer	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-	1.9	1.1	s	s		
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-		
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	3.4	1.6	8.3	2.5		
Don't know association with university	s	s	-	-	23.8	4.0	11.5	2.5		

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual TouchingInvolving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics byBehavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

		Coer	cion		Ab	Absence of Affirmative Consent				
Survey Item	Penet	tration		kual ching	Penet	tration		cual ching		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Relationship to victim										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	54.1	16.4	S	S	43.0	4.3	17.1	2.9		
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	S	s	13.1	3.2	7.5	1.9		
Teacher or advisor	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	s	S	-	-	S	S	S	s		
Friend or acquaintance	50.7	16.9	80.9	21.6	53.2	4.6	49.9	3.5		
Stranger	s	S	-	-	15.4	3.5	47.9	4.0		
Other	-	-	-	-	S	S	1.3	0.7		
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.4	1.2		

¹Per 100 victims.

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

		Coei	rcion		Ab	sence of Con		tive
Survey Item	Penet	ration		cual ching	Penet	ration		cual ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics		1						
Gender								
Male	s	S	S	S	64.1	18.4	23.0	11.1
Female	-	-	S	S	46.7	15.5	81.6	9.3
Other gender identity	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Number of offenders								
1 offender	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	70.2	18.8	68.1	11.3
2 offenders	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S
3 or more offenders	-	-	-	-	S	S	17.8	9.1
University affiliation								
Student	-	-	S	S	76.3	11.0	84.8	8.1
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	S	S	20.7	11.8	S	S
Don't know association with university	s	S	-	-	S	S	21.9	9.8

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

		Coer	cion		Ab	sence of Con	Affirma sent	tive
Survey Item	Pene	tration		xual ching	Penet	tration		kual ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	S	S	-	-	S	S	37.4	11.5
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	S	S	34.1	15.5	S	S
Friend or acquaintance	-	-	-	-	45.0	15.8	38.8	11.8
Stranger	-	-	-	-	36.4	11.6	46.8	12.8
Other	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Don't Know	-	-	S	S	S	S	-	-

¹Per 100 victims.

Table 4.6. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	т	otal		male 3,067)		/lale 1,651)		GQN 1=32)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	14.9	0.4	23.8	0.6	5.3	0.6	19.1	8.0
Penetration	5.5	0.3	8.7	0.4	1.9	0.4	16.6	6.5
Sexual Touching	11.9	0.4	19.0	0.6	4.1	0.5	14.4	5.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	15.5	0.4	24.9	0.5	5.3	0.6	19.1	8.0
Penetration	7.0	0.3	11.3	0.5	2.1	0.4	16.6	6.5
Sexual Touching	11.9	0.4	19.0	0.6	4.1	0.5	14.4	5.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	15.8	0.4	25.1	0.6	5.5	0.6	19.1	8.0
Penetration	7.2	0.3	11.5	0.5	2.3	0.4	16.6	6.5
Sexual Touching	12.1	0.4	19.2	0.6	4.3	0.5	14.4	5.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	18.7	0.4	29.8	0.6	6.6	0.7	32.4	9.3
Penetration	9.0	0.3	14.4	0.4	3.0	0.5	19.1	6.5
Sexual Touching	14.5	0.4	23.1	0.7	4.9	0.6	25.3	7.9

Table 4.7a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	Т	otal		male 2,314)		/lale 1,123)		GQN =27)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	16.8	0.5	26.1	0.7	5.9	0.7	21.3	8.8
Penetration	6.1	0.3	9.5	0.5	2.0	0.4	18.5	7.1
Sexual Touching	13.4	0.5	21.0	0.8	4.5	0.5	16.0	6.1
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	17.4	0.4	27.2	0.7	5.9	0.7	21.3	8.8
Penetration	7.7	0.3	12.3	0.5	2.3	0.4	18.5	7.1
Sexual Touching	13.4	0.5	21.0	0.8	4.5	0.5	16.0	6.1
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	17.7	0.4	27.5	0.7	6.2	0.7	21.3	8.8
Penetration	7.9	0.3	12.4	0.5	2.5	0.4	18.5	7.1
Sexual Touching	13.7	0.5	21.3	0.8	4.8	0.5	16.0	6.1
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	20.9	0.5	32.4	0.7	7.3	0.8	36.1	10.1
Penetration	10.0	0.3	15.6	0.5	3.2	0.5	21.3	7.2
Sexual Touching	16.3	0.5	25.4	0.8	5.5	0.6	28.1	8.7

Table 4.7b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving PhysicalForce, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item		Total		emale =753)		Male =528)		TGQN (n=5)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	6.7	0.7	11.3	1.0	3.1	0.8	-	-
Penetration	2.9	0.4	4.6	0.6	1.6	0.6	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.9	0.6	8.1	0.9	2.3	0.6	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.2	0.7	12.4	1.1	3.1	0.8	-	-
Penetration	3.7	0.5	6.3	0.8	1.6	0.6	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.9	0.6	8.1	0.9	2.3	0.6	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	7.2	0.7	12.5	1.1	3.1	0.8	-	-
Penetration	3.8	0.5	6.4	0.8	1.8	0.7	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.9	0.6	8.1	0.9	2.3	0.6	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	8.9	0.8	15.3	1.2	3.8	0.9	-	-
Penetration	4.5	0.5	7.8	0.9	1.9	0.7	-	-
Sexual Touching	6.3	0.7	10.7	1.1	2.8	0.7	-	-

Table 4.8. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	1	otal		emale =650)		Male 1=345)		GQN n=8)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	19.8	0.9	30.8	1.5	8.2	1.2	30.6	17.7
Penetration	8.3	0.7	13.3	1.1	2.7	0.8	30.6	17.7
Sexual Touching	15.4	0.8	23.5	1.5	6.8	1.0	30.6	17.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	20.9	0.9	32.8	1.5	8.2	1.2	30.6	17.7
Penetration	10.2	0.7	16.6	1.2	3.2	0.8	30.6	17.7
Sexual Touching	15.4	0.8	23.5	1.5	6.8	1.0	30.6	17.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	21.0	0.9	33.0	1.5	8.2	1.2	30.6	17.7
Penetration	10.3	0.7	16.7	1.2	3.2	0.8	30.6	17.7
Sexual Touching	15.5	0.8	23.7	1.5	6.8	1.0	30.6	17.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	24.1	1.0	38.3	1.5	9.0	1.4	41.0	19.8
Penetration	12.7	0.8	20.7	1.3	4.0	1.1	30.6	17.7
Sexual Touching	18.5	0.9	29.0	1.5	7.1	1.1	41.0	19.8

Table 4.9. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	1	otal		male 3,067)		/lale 1,651)		GQN 1=32)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	9.0	0.3	14.4	0.6	3.0	0.4	16.6	6.5
Penetration	2.5	0.2	3.7	0.3	1.0	0.2	16.6	6.5
Sexual Touching	7.5	0.4	12.3	0.6	2.2	0.4	11.9	5.4
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.3	0.4	14.9	0.6	3.0	0.4	16.6	6.5
Penetration	3.2	0.2	5.1	0.4	1.0	0.2	16.6	6.5
Sexual Touching	7.5	0.4	12.3	0.6	2.2	0.4	11.9	5.4
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.4	0.4	15.1	0.6	3.2	0.5	16.6	6.5
Penetration	3.4	0.3	5.2	0.4	1.2	0.3	16.6	6.5
Sexual Touching	7.7	0.4	12.5	0.6	2.4	0.4	11.9	5.4
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	11.7	0.4	18.7	0.7	3.9	0.5	21.4	7.4
Penetration	4.7	0.3	7.4	0.4	1.7	0.3	16.6	6.5
Sexual Touching	9.2	0.4	15.0	0.7	2.8	0.4	16.6	6.7

Table 4.10a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force,Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	т	otal		male 2,314)		/lale 1,123)		GQN 1=27)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	10.4	0.4	16.4	0.7	3.3	0.5	18.5	7.1
Penetration	2.9	0.3	4.2	0.4	1.1	0.3	18.5	7.1
Sexual Touching	8.7	0.4	14.0	0.7	2.4	0.4	13.3	6.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	10.7	0.4	16.9	0.8	3.4	0.5	18.5	7.1
Penetration	3.7	0.3	5.7	0.5	1.1	0.3	18.5	7.1
Sexual Touching	8.7	0.4	14.0	0.7	2.4	0.4	13.3	6.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	10.9	0.4	17.1	0.8	3.5	0.5	18.5	7.1
Penetration	3.9	0.3	5.8	0.5	1.3	0.3	18.5	7.1
Sexual Touching	8.9	0.4	14.2	0.8	2.6	0.5	13.3	6.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	13.4	0.5	21.0	0.8	4.4	0.6	23.8	8.1
Penetration	5.4	0.3	8.2	0.5	2.0	0.4	18.5	7.1
Sexual Touching	10.6	0.4	16.9	0.8	3.1	0.5	18.5	7.5

 Table 4.10b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical

 Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item		Total		emale =753)		Viale =528)		FGQN (n=5)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	2.6	0.4	3.7	0.7	1.7	0.5	-	-
Penetration	0.8	0.2	1.1	0.3	0.6	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.2	0.4	3.0	0.6	1.5	0.5	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.8	0.4	4.3	0.7	1.7	0.5	-	-
Penetration	1.2	0.3	1.9	0.5	0.6	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.2	0.4	3.0	0.6	1.5	0.5	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.9	0.4	4.4	0.7	1.7	0.5	-	-
Penetration	1.3	0.3	2.1	0.5	0.8	0.4	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.2	0.4	3.0	0.6	1.5	0.5	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	4.0	0.5	6.4	0.8	2.0	0.6	-	-
Penetration	1.7	0.3	2.8	0.6	0.8	0.4	-	-
Sexual Touching	3.0	0.5	4.6	0.7	1.8	0.5	-	-

Table 4.11. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	1	otal		emale =650)		Male 1=345)		GQN n=8)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	9.0	0.8	14.0	1.4	3.4	0.8	30.6	17.7
Penetration	2.2	0.4	3.1	0.6	0.9	0.5	30.6	17.7
Sexual Touching	7.7	0.7	11.9	1.3	2.8	0.8	30.6	17.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.4	0.8	14.9	1.5	3.4	0.8	30.6	17.7
Penetration	3.0	0.5	4.6	0.9	0.9	0.5	30.6	17.7
Sexual Touching	7.7	0.7	11.9	1.3	2.8	0.8	30.6	17.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.5	0.8	15.1	1.5	3.4	0.8	30.6	17.7
Penetration	3.1	0.5	4.8	0.8	0.9	0.5	30.6	17.7
Sexual Touching	7.7	0.8	12.1	1.4	2.8	0.8	30.6	17.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	11.8	0.9	19.0	1.6	3.9	0.9	30.6	17.7
Penetration	4.9	0.7	7.6	1.2	1.7	0.6	30.6	17.7
Sexual Touching	9.2	0.8	14.9	1.4	2.8	0.8	30.6	17.7

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harrassment¹

				Female (n	=3,067)		Male (n=1,651)			
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		iate or ssional 753)	Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	52.0	0.6	64.4	0.9	52.0	1.8	42.2	1.4	34.0	1.8
University-associated individual:										
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	30.0	0.5	40.0	0.8	36.1	1.8	20.0	0.9	17.2	1.7
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	40.5	0.5	50.2	0.8	38.2	1.9	33.2	1.3	26.2	1.4
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	19.7	0.5	27.4	0.8	16.5	1.3	13.5	0.9	10.6	1.3
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	15.7	0.5	22.4	0.6	9.0	0.9	11.9	0.8	5.9	1.1
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	14.4	0.3	24.6	0.7	10.9	1.0	6.0	0.6	3.5	0.7
Percent of Victims of Harassment										
Number of offenders										
1 person	28.3	0.8	25.3	0.9	33.6	2.2	30.8	1.9	35.3	3.4
2 persons	25.8	0.8	29.5	1.1	29.7	2.2	20.3	1.6	17.8	2.5
3 or more persons	45.9	0.9	45.2	1.4	36.7	2.3	49.0	2.2	46.9	3.5

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harrassment¹ (continued)

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,314)	Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduato (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term										
0 times	17.6	0.8	14.8	0.9	30.3	2.1	17.7	1.8	25.5	3.2
1 time	17.9	0.6	16.6	0.7	20.8	1.7	18.7	1.8	21.7	3.2
2 times	20.7	0.9	22.8	1.1	21.9	1.7	16.3	1.4	21.1	3.1
3-5 times	30.6	0.9	33.0	1.0	19.5	2.0	30.5	1.9	23.8	3.1
6-9 times	5.9	0.4	6.2	0.6	3.7	1.0	6.9	1.1	2.5	1.0
10 or more times	7.3	0.6	6.5	0.5	3.8	1.1	9.8	1.2	5.3	1.7
Association with university										
Student	92.1	0.5	94.4	0.6	83.5	1.9	92.1	1.1	83.9	2.5
Faculty	9.4	0.6	6.8	0.5	21.9	1.8	8.0	1.2	23.7	3.3
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	0.8	0.2	0.4	0.1	1.1	0.5	1.1	0.5	2.1	1.1
Other staff or administrator	5.9	0.5	4.0	0.5	13.7	1.6	5.3	1.0	15.9	2.6
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	2.3	0.4	1.7	0.3	2.3	0.8	2.9	0.8	2.8	1.6
The person was not affiliated with [University]	9.3	0.6	9.9	0.6	10.8	1.8	8.1	1.3	8.6	2.1
Don't know association with [University]	10.3	0.5	11.5	0.7	8.0	1.4	9.9	1.4	6.9	2.1

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harrassment¹ (continued)

				Female (n	=3,067)		Male (n=1,651)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		iate or ssional 753)	Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Relationship to victim											
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	6.8	0.4	8.2	0.6	4.8	0.9	5.4	0.9	4.3	1.8	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	9.6	0.5	11.2	0.7	7.5	1.4	8.9	1.3	2.8	1.6	
Teacher or advisor	6.9	0.4	5.3	0.5	13.4	1.7	6.1	1.1	15.9	3.2	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	9.5	0.6	7.1	0.6	22.4	2.0	7.0	1.1	23.0	2.8	
Friend or acquaintance	67.5	0.8	67.5	1.1	60.9	2.4	69.2	1.7	66.0	3.1	
Stranger	45.6	1.0	53.3	1.3	33.4	2.3	39.0	1.8	25.6	3.1	
Other	6.7	0.4	5.8	0.5	8.0	1.3	7.3	1.0	10.4	1.9	
Don't Know	3.7	0.3	2.7	0.4	3.4	0.8	5.5	0.9	4.7	1.7	

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harrassment¹

		TGQN (n=32)					
Survey Item	Underg (n=	Profe	uate or ssional =5)				
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr			
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	68.5	9.2	s	S			
University-associated individual:							
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	59.2	10.0	S	S			
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activitiy	59.0	9.8	S	S			
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	31.1	8.6	S	S			
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	11.0	5.8	-	-			
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	23.5	8.9	-	-			
Percent of Victims of Harassment							
Number of offenders							
1 person	20.3	9.7	s	S			
2 persons	15.6	9.0	S	S			
3 or more persons	64.1	11.0	-	-			

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harrassment¹ (continued)

		TGQN	(n=32)	=32)		
Survey Item		Undergraduate (n=27)				
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term						
0 times	S	S	S	S		
1 time	8.7	4.5	S	S		
2 times	26.7	10.5	-	-		
3-5 times	38.1	12.1	-	-		
6-9 times	-	-	-	-		
10 or more times	17.3	8.8	-	-		
Association with university	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Student	88.3	6.5	S	S		
Faculty	S	S	-	-		
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	S	S	-	-		
Other staff or administrator	S	S	-	-		
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	15.1	7.6	S	S		
The person was not affiliated with [University]	S	S	-	-		
Don't know association with [University]	-	-	S	S		

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harrassment¹ (continued)

		TGQN (n	=32)	
Survey Item	Underg (n=	Profe	uate or essional n=5)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	S	S	S	S
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	S	S	-	-
Teacher or advisor	S	S	S	S
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	23.0	9.1	S	S
Friend or acquaintance	76.1	8.6	S	S
Stranger	60.1	11.9	S	S
Other	-	-	-	-
Don't Know	S	S	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

				Female (n	=3,067)		Male (n=1,651)					
Survey Item	Survey Item		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profes	iate or ssional 528)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Reporting to Others												
Friend	57.9	0.9	71.0	1.0	62.2	2.4	38.8	2.0	34.7	3.4		
Family member	13.1	0.6	16.1	0.9	18.8	1.9	6.8	1.0	10.0	2.2		
Faculty	3.3	0.3	3.2	0.4	9.0	1.6	1.6	0.5	3.2	1.1		
Someone else	7.6	0.5	6.3	0.5	12.2	1.6	8.6	1.0	8.6	1.8		
I didn't tell anyone else	37.1	0.8	26.2	0.9	28.8	2.1	54.8	2.3	56.0	4.0		

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		graduate 1,123)	Profe	uate or essional =528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program								1		
Contacted at least one program in university list	8.4	0.6	9.5	0.9	8.1	1.2	6.6	1.1	4.9	1.8
	-								_	
Contacted RSVP (Relationship and Sexual Violence Prevention) Center	35.4	3.3	36.6	4.0	20.7	7.0	34.0	7.5	S	s
Most recent contact								-		_!
Fall of 2014 - present	56.1	6.3	69.4	7.8	s	S	29.6	15.8	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	30.5	5.6	21.0	6.5	54.6	22.8	55.5	16.7	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	3.7	2.1	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	9.7	3.3	7.4	3.8	s	S	S	S	S	S
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear									
Not at all	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	8.7	3.1	6.2	3.3	-	-	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	12.1	3.5	14.4	5.2	S	S	-	-	S	S
Very	31.8	5.8	36.8	6.5	S	S	33.6	16.7	-	-
Extremely	43.6	5.8	40.6	7.3	41.9	22.2	42.6	16.8	S	S

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=:	1,651)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)			raduate .,123)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MU Student Health Center	41.2	3.4	41.5	4.3	38.0	8.9	43.6	10.3	S	s
Most recent contact	-								1	
Fall of 2014 - present	57.4	5.7	61.5	6.5	66.8	14.2	40.9	12.3	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	24.7	4.8	19.7	4.7	S	S	40.0	10.6	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	7.9	2.8	4.8	2.7	S	s	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	10.0	3.5	14.1	4.9	-	-	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	/ear	1	1			1			1	
Not at all	5.8	2.5	5.2	2.6	S	S	S	S	-	-
A little	18.9	3.9	25.6	5.3	27.7	12.5	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	32.8	6.1	25.4	5.7	29.1	15.0	44.1	17.5	S	s
Very	21.1	4.6	21.7	5.8	28.0	12.5	22.3	11.0	-	-
Extremely	21.3	4.4	22.1	4.9	s	S	20.2	10.9	-	-

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MU Counseling Center	47.7	3.6	49.5	4.5	35.5	8.3	46.4	8.8	46.7	25.3
Most recent contact			<u></u>							
Fall of 2014 - present	61.2	4.6	57.2	5.6	55.7	16.6	81.1	10.0	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	21.3	3.4	23.0	4.2	-	-	S	S	100	0.0
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	10.5	2.5	12.6	3.5	s	S	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	6.9	2.2	7.1	2.8	20.8	10.6	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year									
Not at all	7.3	2.5	11.5	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	14.5	3.7	20.5	5.1	24.9	11.7	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	19.8	3.3	19.0	3.9	S	S	S	s	73.3	28.0
Very	33.9	5.2	31.3	6.3	33.9	14.0	47.9	12.2	-	-
Extremely	24.5	4.3	17.8	3.6	26.8	14.4	34.4	13.4	S	S

				Female (n:	=3,067)			Male (n=:	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		graduate 1,123)	Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted True North	6.6	1.7	6.9	2.2	S	s	8.6	4.5	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	36.9	13.2	34.7	15.9	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	40.6	14.7	30.3	16.4	-	-	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Schoo	l Year	1	1	-	1					
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	S	s	S	s	-	-
Somewhat	31.9	12.4	s	s	-	-	S	s	-	-
Very	21.6	10.4	33.6	15.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	31.0	13.7	48.3	19.6	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=:	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		uate or ssional 753)	Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MU Title IX Office	22.0	3.1	19.2	3.8	43.0	8.9	20.2	5.9	s	S
Most recent contact					1				1	-
Fall of 2014 - present	80.2	6.4	90.9	6.3	90.7	8.9	S	S	s	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	14.5	5.8	S	S	-	-	50.1	23.4	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ool Year		1							
Not at all	11.6	5.3	14.3	7.0	-	-	S	s	-	-
A little	11.7	3.7	13.7	6.0	S	S	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	35.4	5.5	24.4	7.8	54.1	12.6	50.1	23.4	-	-
Very	18.3	4.0	18.1	6.7	28.5	12.9	-	-	S	S
Extremely	22.9	5.9	29.5	10.0	S	S	S	S	-	-

				Female (n	=3,067)		Male (n=1,651)					
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Did not contact any programs												
Reason												
Did not know where to go or who to tell	7.2	0.5	8.5	0.8	5.3	1.0	6.2	1.1	2.9	1.2		
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	5.2	0.4	7.1	0.7	6.0	1.2	2.6	0.6	S	S		
I did not think anyone would believe me	1.8	0.2	2.0	0.3	1.3	0.5	1.6	0.5	S	S		
I did not think it was serious enough to report	79.2	0.9	83.4	1.1	79.9	2.0	73.0	2.2	73.0	3.6		
I did not want the person to get into trouble	11.5	0.7	11.6	0.8	16.1	2.0	10.3	1.4	11.0	2.1		
I feared negative social consequences	9.4	0.6	9.6	0.6	15.2	1.6	7.2	1.2	8.7	1.8		
I did not think anything would be done	16.3	0.8	18.4	0.9	19.2	2.4	12.4	1.5	11.8	2.3		
I feared it would not be kept confidential	5.9	0.5	6.3	0.6	11.7	1.8	4.3	0.9	3.6	1.3		
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	13.6	0.7	14.3	1.0	18.0	1.8	12.5	1.3	9.1	2.0		
Incident did not occur while attending school	5.2	0.5	5.2	0.6	6.3	1.2	5.5	1.1	2.8	1.2		
Other	16.0	0.7	12.7	0.9	14.2	1.8	20.9	1.9	22.2	3.8		

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harrassment^{1, 2}

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		uate or ssional 753)	Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	11.9	0.6	14.8	0.8	8.8	1.2	9.5	1.0	9.2	1.2
Partner controlled or tried to control	7.9	0.4	10.0	0.6	5.7	0.8	6.1	0.8	5.5	1.0
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	4.9	0.4	6.6	0.7	4.3	0.7	3.0	0.6	4.4	0.8
Partner used physical force	5.2	0.3	5.8	0.6	4.2	0.8	4.5	0.6	4.9	0.8
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence										
Number of offenders										
1 person	89.8	1.3	90.0	1.7	86.5	3.9	91.3	2.8	88.5	5.1
2 persons	6.6	1.1	6.2	1.4	7.7	3.2	7.0	2.6	8.4	4.3
3 or more persons	3.6	0.8	3.8	1.2	5.7	3.0	S	S	s	s
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term										
0 times	40.3	2.1	36.5	2.6	61.7	5.4	43.0	5.0	46.1	8.0
1 time	23.1	2.1	25.0	2.6	11.8	4.1	22.9	5.2	20.5	6.3
2 times	15.7	1.6	16.6	2.1	12.5	4.5	15.3	3.8	10.9	5.0
3-5 times	14.7	1.6	17.6	2.4	8.1	3.6	10.4	2.9	13.7	5.1
6-9 times	3.5	0.9	3.1	0.9	s	s	3.9	2.5	s	s
10 or more times	2.6	0.8	1.2	0.6	s	S	4.4	2.3	s	s

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²Percent of students who reported being in a partnered relationship since entering college (question A13 on questionnaire).

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harrassment^{1, 2}

		TGQN	(n=32)	
Survey Item		raduate =27)		Professional =5)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	32.1	10.0	S	S
Partner controlled or tried to control	32.1	10.0	s	s
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	14.7	8.0	-	-
Partner used physical force	11.7	6.2	-	-
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence	<u>'</u>			
Number of offenders				
1 person	75.9	17.5	S	S
2 persons	-	-	-	-
3 or more persons	S	S	-	-
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term	'			
0 times	S	S	-	-
1 time	S	S	S	S
2 times	S	S	-	-
3-5 times	S	S	-	-
6-9 times	S	S	-	-
10 or more times	-	-	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²Percent of students who reported being in a partnered relationship since entering college (question A13 on questionnaire).

				Female (n	=3,067)			1,651)		
Survey Item	Survey Item		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	62.7	2.2	71.7	2.9	58.9	6.7	53.1	5.6	40.3	6.7
Family member	33.5	2.2	36.4	2.7	47.9	7.7	24.6	4.5	32.1	7.9
Faculty	4.9	1.1	4.5	1.4	S	S	S	S	12.8	5.7
Someone else	7.3	1.2	9.0	1.6	15.4	5.1	S	S	S	S
I didn't tell anyone else	27.9	2.3	21.0	2.8	26.5	6.1	38.7	5.4	39.6	7.3

				Female (n:	=3,067)		Male (n=1,651)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Graduate or Professional (n=528)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Reporting to a Program				'				1			
Contacted at least one program in university list	15.4	1.6	14.7	2.0	21.1	6.0	14.6	3.7	8.7	4.7	
		1		1	1	-		1		1	
Contacted RSVP (Relationship and Sexual Violence Prevention) Center	26.7	4.5	32.1	6.7	47.1	15.1	S	S	S	S	
Most recent contact		1		,							
Fall of 2014 - present	42.1	12.2	51.3	16.5	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	38.3	11.9	48.7	16.5	50.3	27.1	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear										
Not at all	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-	
A little	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very	31.2	10.5	45.3	14.3	S	s	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	49.1	12.2	38.8	15.6	83.3	17.6	-	-	S	S	

				Female (n:	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MU Student Health Center	20.4	5.2	24.7	8.0	43.2	17.9	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	42.3	12.0	49.0	12.7	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	33.5	12.4	28.1	15.5	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	24.2	11.9	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	/ear	1	1			1				
Not at all	28.2	12.4	30.1	16.2	-	-	S	s	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	25.4	12.1	31.2	19.0	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	42.0	16.7	38.6	23.3	s	S	s	s	-	-

				Female (n:	=3,067)			Male (n=:	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MU Counseling Center	60.5	6.5	62.4	8.0	68.7	14.3	69.6	15.6	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	52.1	7.7	59.1	9.6	-	-	62.0	14.3	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	33.2	5.7	33.3	7.6	54.7	19.9	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	11.2	5.7	S	S	s	S	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	3.5	2.1	S	S	s	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year				1				1	
Not at all	18.3	7.1	29.0	11.0	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	14.8	5.5	16.9	7.2	s	S	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	20.1	5.3	15.6	6.5	s	S	31.9	14.6	-	-
Very	18.6	5.2	17.2	7.5	s	S	S	s	-	-
Extremely	28.3	6.5	21.3	7.5	s	S	47.8	19.7	-	-

				Female (n	=3,067)		Male (n=1,651)				
Survey Item	г	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted True North	7.0	3.0	S	s	-	-	S	s	s	s	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	S	s	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year		1			1					
Not at all	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S	
A little	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	_	

				Female (n:	=3,067)			Male (n=:	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		raduate ,123)	Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MU Title IX Office	13.2	3.7	17.5	5.6	-	-	-	-	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	54.6	18.3	77.8	22.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ol Year				1				1	
Not at all	S	S	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	S	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	26.5	14.6	S	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	9.9	1.3	11.6	2.0	12.4	6.3	6.5	2.8	-	-
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	18.7	2.4	20.4	2.8	18.9	6.4	17.7	4.7	9.0	4.8
I did not think anyone would believe me	5.6	1.1	7.5	1.6	S	S	S	S	-	-
I did not think it was serious enough to report	55.5	2.8	52.8	3.0	31.0	7.6	62.9	6.4	65.0	8.3
l did not want the person to get into trouble	22.2	2.0	23.2	2.7	31.8	7.3	17.2	4.4	23.6	7.1
I feared negative social consequences	10.9	1.5	10.5	2.0	9.4	4.1	11.2	3.5	8.5	4.6
I did not think anything would be done	12.2	1.6	12.7	1.9	18.3	6.4	8.2	3.0	8.9	4.8
I feared it would not be kept confidential	7.6	1.2	10.3	1.9	6.4	3.3	S	S	S	S
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	30.8	2.0	31.5	2.5	59.0	6.3	20.1	4.4	45.8	7.6
Incident did not occur while attending school	8.2	1.1	9.6	1.7	S	S	5.9	2.4	11.0	4.9
Other	19.0	2.1	17.3	2.1	20.9	5.5	20.7	5.3	24.7	6.8

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Statusand Characteristics of Stalking¹

				Female (n	=3,067)		Male (n=1,651)					
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate 2,314)	Profe	uate or ssional 753)	Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Graduate or Professional (n=528)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	5.1	0.3	7.4	0.5	8.0	1.0	2.6	0.5	1.8	0.7		
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	2.6	0.3	3.5	0.3	5.2	0.8	1.2	0.4	0.6	0.3		
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	2.8	0.2	4.0	0.4	3.3	0.7	1.7	0.4	1.1	0.4		
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.4	0.1	1.7	0.3	2.5	0.5	1.1	0.3	0.6	0.4		
Percent of Victims of Stalking					1					1		
Number of incidents since beginning	of 201	4 term										
0 times	24.5	2.9	24.9	3.6	23.6	4.3	21.4	6.7	39.8	16.8		
1 time	16.4	2.1	15.9	2.3	18.0	4.6	19.1	7.2	S	S		
2 times	19.3	2.6	18.7	2.7	16.6	4.4	26.9	8.7	S	S		
3-5 times	26.7	2.5	31.4	3.4	28.8	5.5	S	s	S	S		
6-9 times	4.9	1.3	5.2	1.7	s	S	S	s	S	S		
10 or more times	8.2	1.8	3.9	1.3	11.1	3.9	21.1	7.4	-	-		
Association with university												
Student	73.7	2.8	78.1	3.2	50.3	7.0	74.8	9.0	88.0	12.3		
Faculty	4.0	1.1	2.7	1.3	7.5	3.2	-	-	49.3	21.2		
Other staff or administrator	3.5	1.2	2.0	1.0	6.7	3.1	S	s	S	s		
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-		
The person was not affiliated with university	7.5	1.7	5.1	1.6	16.7	5.8	S	S	-	-		
Don't know association with university	21.2	2.5	22.0	3.1	29.8	5.7	16.4	7.5	-	-		

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking¹ (continued)

			ĺ	Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	Т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	iate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	9.9	2.5	6.7	2.1	10.7	4.0	19.1	8.8	S	S
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	31.8	4.0	36.0	4.3	23.6	4.7	24.0	8.6	50.7	21.2
Teacher or advisor	2.3	0.9	S	S	S	S	-	-	49.3	21.2
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	5.7	1.3	5.0	1.5	10.2	3.6	S	S	s	S
Friend or acquaintance	38.5	3.5	40.3	4.2	42.4	7.4	34.6	9.4	-	-
Stranger	20.7	2.3	20.7	2.9	27.0	6.9	16.3	7.3	-	-
Other	11.1	2.2	8.4	2.0	11.4	4.6	21.8	8.7	-	-
Don't Know	3.8	1.5	4.3	1.6	S	S	S	S	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Statusand Characteristics of Stalking¹

		TGQN (n=32)	
Survey Item		raduate 27)	Profe	iate or ssional =5)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	12.3	5.7	S	S
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	10.4	5.6	-	-
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	S	S	S	S
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	S	S	-	-
Percent of Victims of Stalking				
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term				
0 times	S	S	_	-
1 time	-	-	-	-
2 times	-	-	-	-
3-5 times	S	S	S	S
6-9 times	-	-	-	-
10 or more times	S	S	-	-
Association with university				
Student	S	S	-	-
Faculty	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	_	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	S	S	-	-
Don't know association with university	-	-	S	S

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking¹ (continued)

		TGQN (r	n=32)	
Survey Item	Underg (n=	Profes	ate or ssional =5)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	-	-
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	S	S	S	S
Stranger	S	S	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
Don't Know	-	-	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=:	1,651)	
Survey Item	Survey Item			raduate ,314)	Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	86.7	2.1	91.2	2.1	79.9	5.6	78.1	8.5	69.8	18.5
Family member	42.6	3.3	41.7	3.4	49.2	6.6	38.7	11.4	42.2	20.3
Faculty	11.5	1.8	9.8	2.3	24.5	6.8	S	S	46.3	21.1
Someone else	18.3	2.6	17.2	2.9	26.6	6.0	14.5	7.5	S	S
I didn't tell anyone else	6.5	1.5	6.4	1.9	9.7	4.9	S	S	s	S

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or essional =528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program					1					
Contacted at least one program in university list	15.4	2.0	15.1	2.9	24.5	6.2	9.7	6.2	S	S
Contacted RSVP (Relationship and Sexual Violence Prevention) Center	32.5	8.3	33.4	11.8	41.2	15.9	-	-	S	S
Most recent contact										-
Fall of 2014 - present	65.0	14.9	92.8	7.5	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	18.7	9.5	S	S	55.5	26.4	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear									
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	28.4	13.0	S	S	63.4	24.3	-	-	-	-
Extremely	41.5	12.7	S	s	s	S	-	-	S	S

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MU Student Health Center	29.3	7.8	26.9	8.8	40.4	15.9	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact								·		
Fall of 2014 - present	85.5	10.0	74.4	17.7	100	0.0	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	/ear							-	1	
Not at all	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	30.7	15.0	44.0	22.8	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	46.3	19.2	s	s	65.9	24.2	s	s	-	-

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=:	1,651)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MU Counseling Center	50.2	9.1	50.2	11.2	45.5	11.3	S	S	S	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	76.1	11.0	77.3	13.2	45.4	21.9	S	S	S	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	16.7	9.7	22.7	13.2	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	/ear				1				1	
Not at all	14.0	8.1	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	20.8	8.5	26.6	11.3	S	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	24.2	9.6	26.3	14.5	-	-	S	s	-	-
Very	31.5	9.7	24.1	13.6	S	S	S	s	S	S
Extremely	9.6	5.5	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=3,067)			Male (n=1	L,651)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		uate or ssional 753)	Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profes	iate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted True North	S	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ool Year	1								
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	100	_	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=	1,651)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		uate or ssional 753)	Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MU Title IX Office	20.4	5.8	20.5	7.4	38.6	17.6	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	64.9	18.0	58.4	26.3	75.4	32.4	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	35.1	18.0	s	s	S	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Schoo	l Year		1						1	
Not at all	S	S	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	49.0	16.3	49.9	26.2	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	s	S	s	s	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,067)			Male (n=:	1,651)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,314)		Graduate or Professional (n=753)		Undergraduate (n=1,123)		Profe	uate or ssional 528)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs				1					1	
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	18.9	2.7	20.1	3.2	15.5	5.2	15.9	8.3	s	S
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	18.4	3.0	25.0	4.2	13.0	5.9	-	-	S	S
I did not think anyone would believe me	9.9	2.5	10.5	2.8	8.3	4.2	S	S	S	S
l did not think it was serious enough to report	48.8	3.9	50.7	4.9	33.4	6.5	55.8	9.4	S	S
l did not want the person to get into trouble	15.7	2.5	21.2	3.4	12.7	5.7	S	S	-	-
I feared negative social consequences	19.1	2.8	20.9	3.2	23.1	5.6	S	S	S	S
I did not think anything would be done	31.3	4.0	31.7	4.4	28.2	6.6	31.9	10.2	S	S
I feared it would not be kept confidential	18.0	3.2	19.9	4.1	6.0	3.8	17.3	7.8	S	S
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	22.1	2.8	24.4	3.2	31.5	8.4	S	S	-	-
Incident did not occur while attending school	3.8	1.4	4.1	1.6	10.4	5.7	-	-	-	-
Other	14.6	2.2	13.0	2.7	11.1	4.5	19.5	7.7	-	-

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence orStalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2}

				Female (3,067)			Male (1	,651)	
	т	otal	Undergraduate (2,314)		Graduate or Professional (753)		Undergraduate (1,123)		Graduate or Professional (528)	
<i>Survey Item</i> Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Harassment										
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	50.7	0.7	63.5	0.9	49.9	1.9	40.2	1.4	33.8	2.2
Non-Heterosexual	67.7	2.7	80.0	3.3	81.5	5.3	63.6	5.1	39.1	6.6
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	59.9	3.8	69.3	4.2	56.4	8.9	49.8	8.3	49.9	10.5
Not Hispanic	51.7	0.6	64.2	0.9	51.9	1.9	41.8	1.4	33.3	1.9
Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	59.4	6.2	65.8	9.0	74.4	11.3	47.8	10.9	58.0	17.8
Asian	33.7	2.4	51.2	3.7	35.5	4.4	38.2	6.1	13.2	3.1
Black or African American	50.7	2.4	59.3	3.2	44.3	10.5	38.4	5.7	41.6	9.0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	35.8	14.3	86.7	13.5	S	S	S	S	-	-
White	54.7	0.7	66.6	0.9	55.4	2.1	43.1	1.3	41.8	2.3
Intimate partner violence Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	10.9	0.5	13.9	0.8	8.0	1.2	8.4	1.0	8.2	1.2
Non-Heterosexual	23.6	2.7	29.3	3.7	22.2	6.6	19.5	4.6	17.3	5.5
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	14.9	3.5	18.1	5.2	S	s	13.9	7.2	-	-
Not Hispanic	11.8	0.6	14.7	0.8	8.9	1.2	9.3	1.1	9.5	1.2
Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	14.4	4.0	14.8	5.7	S	S	S	S	S	S
Asian	10.3	2.2	14.1	3.7	11.4	3.6	10.8	5.0	3.8	2.0
Black or African American	19.9	2.4	24.3	3.3	S	S	14.8	4.8	16.6	9.1
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
White	11.4	0.6	13.9	0.8	8.3	1.3	9.2	1.1	9.8	1.3

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence orStalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (3,067)			Male (1	,651)	
	т	Total		Undergraduate (2,314)		Graduate or Professional (753)		raduate 123)	Profe	uate or ssional 28)
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Stalking										
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	4.6	0.3	6.5	0.5	7.8	1.0	2.5	0.4	1.5	0.5
Non-Heterosexual	11.5	1.8	20.4	3.1	11.5	4.7	4.8	2.8	s	s
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	5.4	2.1	7.3	3.6	S	S	S	S	-	-
Not Hispanic	5.1	0.3	7.4	0.5	8.0	1.0	2.6	0.5	1.8	0.7
Race					1		1			
American Indian or Alaska Native	10.8	3.1	12.9	5.8	27.7	12.8	-	-	S	S
Asian	3.3	1.0	4.3	2.0	8.7	2.7	S	S	s	s
Black or African American	7.0	1.5	8.2	1.7	19.1	7.0	S	s	s	s
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	5.2	0.3	7.7	0.5	7.1	1.0	2.6	0.5	1.2	0.7

¹Per 100 students.

²Estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.